HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION (M) NO. 259 OF 2006.
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL (ST.) NO. 27861 OF 2006.

Arohi Vidyutkumar Kulkarni. ..Applicant.

Versus.

Vidyutkumar L.Kulkarni. ..Respondent.

Ms. Seema Sarnaik for the applicant - appellant.

Mr. Uday Warunjikar for the respondent.

CORAM: S. B. MHASE &
SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.

DATE: JANUARY 31, 2007.

P.C.

1. Heard. This matter arises from an order of maintenance. This is an appeal by wife. There is a delay of 218 days, however, the appeal filed by the husband is already admitted being Appeal No. 68 of 2006. It has been brought to our notice that in the reasoning part the maintenance amount mentioned is Rs.5,000/- per month, however, in the operative part it is stated to be Rs.3000/- per month. This anomaly is required to be clarified. Since we are considering an appeal by husband, the wife is also entitled to file a cross

objection after receipt of the notice of appeal. In this backdrop, delay is required to be condoned. Therefore, we condone the delay. Delay condonation application is allowed. Office shall proceed with the matter and number the same.

(SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, J.) **(S.B.MHASE, J.)**

:sachin/2007/nnm/..